

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignnia 22313-1450 www.sspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/496,600	02/02/2000	Hang Zhang	50325-109	6479
7:	590 09/30/2003			
CHRISTOPHER J. PALERMO HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER LLP 1600 WILLOW STREET			EXAMINER	
			· BOUTAH, ALINA A	
SAN JOSE, CA 95125-5106		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2143 DATE MAILED: 09/30/2003	14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, •					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence	09/496,600	ZHANG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alina N Boutah	2143			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period where the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 J</u>	luly 2003				
<u> </u>	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		rosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application	ı .				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception at the drawing and the draw t	•				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>15 July 2003</u> is: a)⊠ approved b)□ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119/a	a)-(d) or (f)			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
I.S. Patent and Trademark Office					

Art Unit: 2143

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This action is in response to amendment received July 15, 2003. Claims 25-40 have been newly added. Claims 1-40 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 11, 17, 22, 23 and 24 have been amended.

Drawings

Applicants have amended claims 6, 16, and 22 to include "HTTP daemon" instead of "HTML daemon." Accordingly, the objections to the claims are now withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments with respect to amended independent claims 1, 11, 17, 23 and 24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection in view of newly found art, USPN 6,913,037 issued to Spofford et al.

In response to Applicants' remarks on page 17 of the amendment that the site server is not commonly referred to as a managed network device, the Patent Office disagrees with this remark. As admitted by Applicants on page 16, the site server 12, is designed to be configured from a remote computer 58 using a web browser. In this case, the remote computer 58 is interpreted as a managing device, and the site server is interpreted as a managed device.

Therefore, the 102(e) rejection of Krishnamurthy in the previous Office Action was appropriate.

Art Unit: 2143

In response to Applicants' argument that Krishnamurthy fails to disclose or suggest to include SNMP daemon or an HTTP daemon in claim 11, the Patent Office would like to direct Applicants' attention to column 2, lines 24-55 of the reference which discloses an SNMP agent, and column 7, lines 54-65 which discloses an HTTP daemon. Therefore, Krishnamurthy does teach these features.

With respect to claims 5, 6, 15, 16, 21 and 22, although the Office Action dated September 27, 2002 admitted that Krishnamurthy failed to teach various features of these claims, it does not place these claims in condition for allowance because the previous Office Action dated April 8, 2003 changed the ground of rejection and stated that the features of these claims were in fact, taught by Krishnamurthy.

In response to Applicants' argument that Krishnamurthy fails to teach an HTTP-SNMP interface and an HTTP daemon of a managed network device, col. 3, lines 16-33; col. 4, lines 7-11; and col. 7, lines 54-65 does teach the above feature. Specifically, the cited areas of the Krishnamuthy teaches a graphical interface that allows users to create and edit attributes of network elements (MIBS) by translating SNMP into web formats which is inherently accessed by HTTP. This feature is interpreted as an HTTP-SNMP format. Also, as stated above, the reference does teach HTTP daemon on column 7, lines 54-65.

In response to Applicants' argument that Krishnamurthy fails to teach creating and storing a MIB object tree in a memory of the network device, column 19, lines 48-54 discloses a memory for storing MIB while col. 16, line 31 discloses a MIB sub-tree, there is a strong suggestion that a MIB object tree can be created and stored in the memory. Therefore, Krishnamurthy does teach this feature.

Art Unit: 2143

In response to Applicants' argument that Krishnamurthy fails to teach storing an executable software element, in associated with the browser, configured for packaging an SNMP query for a MIB variable value, col. 2, lines 24-55 of the reference discloses a SNMP as a known method for relaying network management information from devices on a network to management consoles, which comprises two major components, that is the protocol itself and MIB, and SNMP agents use the MIB to provide a view of local data that are available for manipulation by a management console. In order for a variable (MIB) to be monitored, SNMP must be inherently associated with a browser, and in order to obtain a MIB value, it must be queried by an inherently packaged SNMP. Therefore, the cited passage does teach the limitation of claim 7.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 6,389,464 issued to Krishnamurthy et al. in view of USPN 6,913,037 issued to Spofford et al.

(Amended) Regarding claim 1, Krishnamurthy et al. teach a method for obtaining a current value of a Management Information Base (MIB) variable stored in a managed network device in a network, the method comprising the steps of:



Art Unit: 2143

receiving a connection of a Web browser to the network device (column 7, lines 54-65); receiving at the network device an HTTP request message from the browser to obtain the current value of the MIB variable (column 8, lines 62 – column 9, lines 1-54);

receiving the current value of the MIB variable from the MIB of the network device (column 7, lines 66-67 – column 8 lines 1-15); and

communicating the current value of the MIB variable from the network device to the browser using an HTTP reply message (column 10, lines 48-54; figures 25-27).

However, Krishnamurthy et al. fail to explicitly teach receiving and communicating the value of a MIB variable from the managed network device to which the MIB variable pertains. Spofford teaches receiving and communicating a variable of a MIB variable from a managed device to which the MIB variable pertains (col. 2, lines 1-6; figure 1). At the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to receive and communicate the value of a MIB by directly querying the managed network device in order to quickly access the MIB variable, thus enhancing the network's efficiency.

Regarding claim 2, Krishnamurthy et al. teach the method of claim 1, further comprising the steps of:

creating and storing a MIB object tree in a memory of the network device (column 19, lines 48-54; column 20, lines 49-57);

creating an electronic document that contains a representation of one or more MIB variables of the MIB object tree (figures 25-27);

communicating the electronic document to the Web browser (figures 25-27).

Art Unit: 2143

Regarding claim 3, Krishnamurthy et al. teach the method of claim 1, wherein the step of receiving the current value of the MIB variable from the MIB of the network device includes the steps of creating and storing a MIB object tree in a memory of the network device (column 19, lines 48-54; column 20, lines 49-57); obtaining the MIB variable from the MIB object tree in the memory of the network device (column 10, lines 63-67 – column 11, lines 1-8).

Regarding claim 4, Krishnamurthy et al. teach the method of claim 1, further comprising the steps of:

creating and storing a MIB object tree in a memory of the network device (column 19, lines 48-54; column 20, lines 49-57);

creating an electronic document that contains a representation of one or more MIB variables of the MIB object tree (figures 25-27);

receiving a user selection of one of the MIB variables based on the electronic document (102 figure 4; figures 25-27; column 7, lines 54-65);

wherein the step of receiving the current value of the MIB variable from the MIB of the network device includes the step of obtaining the MIB variable that is identified in the user selection from the MIB object tree in the memory of the network device (figures 25-26).

Regarding claim 5, Krishnamurthy et al. teach the method of claim 1, further comprising: receiving the HTTP request message to obtain the current value of the MIB variable at an HTTP-SNMP interface (col. 3, lines 16-33; col. 4, lines 7-11; col. 7, lines 54-65);

Art Unit: 2143

creating an SNMP query that requests a current value of the MIB variable based on the HTTP request message (column 7, lines 54-65; column 8, lines 62-67 – column 9, lines 1-8); and communicating the SNMP query to an SNMP daemon of the network device (column 7, lines 66-67 – column 8 lines 1-15; column 8, lines 62 – column 9, lines 1-54).

Regarding claim 6, Krishnamurthy et al. teach the method of claim 1, further comprising the steps of:

communicating the current value of the MIB variable to the HTTP-SNMP interface (col. 3, lines 16-33; col. 4, lines 7-11; col. 7, lines 54-65);

creating and storing an HTML page that contains the current value of the MIB variable (col. 4, lines 43-53; col. 6, lines 36-44); and

sending the HTML page to an HTTP daemon of the network device (col. 7, lines 54-65).

Regarding claim 7, Krishnamurthy et al. teach the method of claim 1, further comprising the step of creating and storing an executable software element in association with the Web browser, wherein the executable software element is configured for packaging an SNMP query into the request from the Web browser (column 2, lines 24-55, column 8, lines 24-47).

Regarding claim 8, although Krishnamurthy et al. do not explicitly disclose the method of claim 1, wherein the step of receiving a request from the Web browser to obtain the current value of the MIB variable includes the step of unpackaging an SNMP query that is packaged in the request from the Web browser to identify the MIB variable, it is well known in the art that in

Art Unit: 2143

order for obtain the current value of the MIB, the SNMP request must be packaged and unpackaged at the web browser.

Regarding claim 9, Krishnamurthy et al. teach the method of claim 8, further comprising the step of sending the SNMP query to an SNMP daemon of the network device (column 7, lines 66-67 – column 8 lines 1-15; column 8, lines 62 – column 9, lines 1-54).

Regarding claim 10, although Krishnamurthy et al. do not explicitly disclose the method of claim 8, wherein the step of returning the current value of the MIB variable to the Web browser includes the step of repackaging the current value of the MIB variable into an HTTP reply message, by the principle of inherency, in order for the web browser to receive the current value of the MIB, it must be repackaged in the reply message.

(Amended) Regarding claim 11, Krishnamurthy et al. teach a network device, comprising:

- a processor (column 19 line 63);
- a Management Information Base (MIB) logically accessible by the processor and comprising one or more stored values of MIB variables (column 19, lines 63-67 column 20, lines 1-8);
- a Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP) daemon executed by the processor (column 2, lines 24-55);



Art Unit: 2143

a Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP) daemon executed by the processor (column 7, lines 54-65);

stored instructions for obtaining a current value of a Management Information Base (MIB) variable stored in a managed network device which, when executed by the processor, cause the processor to carry out the steps of:

receiving a connection of a Web browser at the HTTP daemon to the network device (column 7, lines 54-65);

receiving at the network device an HTTP request message from the browser to obtain the current value of one of the MIB variable (column 8, lines 54-56);

receiving the current value of the MIB variable from the MIB of the network device (column 7, lines 66-67 – column 8 lines 1-15; column 8, lines 62 – column 9, lines 1-54); and communicating the current value of the MIB variable from the network device to the browser using an HTTP reply message (column 10, lines 48-54; figures 25-27).

However, Krishnamurthy et al. fail to explicitly teach receiving and communicating the value of a MIB variable from the managed network device to which the MIB variable pertains. Spofford teaches receiving and communicating a variable of a MIB variable from a managed device to which the MIB variable pertains (col. 2, lines 1-6; figure 1). At the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to receive and communicate the value of a MIB by directly querying the managed network device in order to quickly access the MIB variable, thus enhancing the network's efficiency.

Art Unit: 2143

Regarding claim 12, Krishnamurthy et al. teach the network device of claim 11, wherein the instructions further cause the processor to carry out the steps of:

creating and storing a MIB object tree in a memory of the network device (column 19, lines 48-54; column 20, lines 49-57);

creating an electronic document that contains a representation of one or more MIB variables of the MIB object tree (figures 25-27);

communicating the electronic document to the Web browser (figures 25-27).

Regarding claim 13, Krishnamurthy et al. teach the network device of claim 11, wherein the step of receiving the current value of the MIB variable from the MIB of the network device includes the steps of creating and storing a MIB object tree in a memory of the network device (column 19, lines 48-54; column 20, lines 49-57); obtaining the MIB variable from the MIB object tree in the memory of the network device (column 10, lines 63-67 – column 11, lines 1-8).

Regarding claim 14, Krishnamurthy et al. teach the network device of claim 11, wherein the instructions further cause the processor to carry out the steps of:

creating and storing a MIB object tree in a memory of the network device (column 19, lines 48-54; column 20, lines 49-57);

creating an electronic document that contains a representation of one or more MIB variables of the MIB object tree (figures 25-27);

receiving a user selection of one of the MIB variables based on the electronic document (102 figure 4; figures 25-27; column 7, lines 54-65);

Art Unit: 2143

wherein the step of receiving the current value of the MIB variable from the MIB of the network device includes the step of obtaining the MIB variable that is identified in the user selection from the MIB object tree in the memory of the network device (figures 25-26).

Regarding claim 15, Krishnamurthy et al. teach the method of claim 11, further comprising an HTTP-SNMP interface which, when executed by the processor, causes the processor to carry out to steps of:

receiving the HTTP request message to obtain the current value of the MIB variable at an HTTP-SNMP interface (col. 3, lines 16-33; col. 4, lines 7-11; col. 7, lines 54-65);

creating an SNMP query that requests a current value of the MIB variable based on the HTTP request message (column 7, lines 54-65; column 8, lines 62-67 – column 9, lines 1-8); and communicating the SNMP query to an SNMP daemon of the network device (column 7, lines 66-67 – column 8 lines 1-15; column 8, lines 62 – column 9, lines 1-54).

Regarding claim 16, Krishnamurthy et al. teach the network device of claim 11, further comprising the steps of:

communicating the current value of the MIB variable to the HTTP-SNMP interface (col. 3, lines 16-33; col. 4, lines 7-11; col. 7, lines 54-65);

creating and storing an HTML page that contains the current value of the MIB variable (col. 4, lines 43-53; col. 6, lines 36-44); and

sending the HTML page to an HTTP daemon of the network device (col. 7, lines 54-65).

Art Unit: 2143

(Amended) Regarding claim 17, Krishnamurthy et al. teach a computer-readable medium carrying one or more sequences of one or more instructions for obtaining a current value of a Management Information Base (MIB) variable stored in a managed network device in a network, the one or more sequences of one or more instructions including instructions which, when executed by one or more processors, cause the one or more processors to perform the steps of:

receiving a connection of a Web browser to the network device (column 7, lines 54-65);
receiving at the network device an HTTP request message from the browser to obtain the current value of

the MIB variable (column 8, lines 62 – column 9, lines 1-54);

receiving the current value of the MIB variable from the MIB of the network device (column 7, lines 66-67 – column 8 lines 1-15); and

communicating the current value of the MIB variable from the network device to the browser using an HTTP reply message (column 10, lines 48-54; figures 25-27).

However, Krishnamurthy et al. fail to explicitly teach receiving and communicating the value of a MIB variable from the managed network device to which the MIB variable pertains. Spofford teaches receiving and communicating a variable of a MIB variable from a managed device to which the MIB variable pertains (col. 2, lines 1-6; figure 1). At the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to receive and communicate the value of a MIB by directly querying the managed network device in order to quickly access the MIB variable, thus enhancing the network's efficiency.

Art Unit: 2143

Regarding claim 18, Krishnamurthy et al. teach the computer-readable medium as recited in claim 17, wherein the instructions further cause the processor to carry out the steps of creating and storing a MIB object tree (column 19, lines 48-54; column 20, lines 49-57);

creating an electronic document that contains a representation of one or more MIB variables of the MIB object tree (figures 25-27);

communicating the electronic document to the Web browser (figures 25-27).

Regarding claim 19, Krishnamurthy et al. teach the computer-readable medium as recited in claim 17, wherein receiving the current value of the MIB variable from the MIB of the network device includes the steps of creating and storing a MIB object tree in a memory of the network device (column 19, lines 48-54; column 20, lines 49-57); obtaining the MIB variable from the MIB object tree in the memory of the network device (column 10, lines 63-67 – column 11, lines 1-8).

Regarding claim 20, Krishnamurthy et al. teach the computer-readable medium as recited in claim 17, wherein the instructions further cause the processor to carry out the steps of:

creating and storing a MIB object tree in a memory of the network device (column 19, lines 48-54; column 20, lines 49-57);

creating an electronic document that contains a representation of one or more MIB variables of the MIB object tree (figures 25-27);

receiving a user selection of one of the MIB variables based on the electronic document (102 figure 4; figures 25-27; column 7, lines 54-65);

Art Unit: 2143

wherein receiving the current value of the MIB variable from the MIB of the network device includes the step of obtaining the MIB variable that is identified in the user selection from the MIB object tree in the memory of the network device (figures 25-26).

Regarding claim 21, Krishnamurthy et al. teach the computer-readable medium as recited in claim 17, wherein the instructions further cause the processor to carry out the steps of:

receiving the HTTP request message to obtain the current value of the MIB variable at an HTTP-SNMP interface (col. 3, lines 16-33; col. 4, lines 7-11; col. 7, lines 54-65);

creating an SNMP query that requests a current value of the MIB variable based on the HTTP request message (column 7, lines 54-65; column 8, lines 62-67 – column 9, lines 1-8); and communicating the SNMP query to an SNMP daemon of the network device (column 7, lines 66-67 – column 8 lines 1-15; column 8, lines 62 – column 9, lines 1-54).

Regarding claim 22, Krishnamurthy et al. teach the computer-readable medium as recited in claim 17, wherein the instructions further cause the processor to carry out the steps of:

communicating the current value of the MIB variable to the HTTP-SNMP interface (col. 3, lines 16-33; col. 4, lines 7-11; col. 7, lines 54-65);

creating and storing an HTML page that contains the current value of the MIB variable (col. 4, lines 43-53; col. 6, lines 36-44); and sending the HTML page to an HTML daemon of the network device (col. 7, lines 54-65).



Art Unit: 2143

(Amended) Regarding claim 23, Krishnamurthy et al. teach an HTTP browser program including a plug-in executable software element configured for obtaining a current value of a Management Information Base (MIB) variable stored in a managed network device in a network and which, when executed by a processor that executes the browser, causes the processor to carry out the steps of:

receiving a connection of a web browser to the network device (column 7, lines 54-65); receiving at the network device an HTTP request message from the browser to obtain the current value of the MIB variable (column 8, lines 62 – column 9, lines 1-54);

receiving the current value of the MIB variable from the MIB of the network device (column 7, lines 66-67 – column 8 lines 1-15); and

communicating the a current value of the MIB variable from the network device to the browser using an HTTP reply message (column 8, lines 62 – column 9, lines 1-54; column 10, lines 48-54; figures 25-27).

However, Krishnamurthy et al. fail to explicitly teach receiving and communicating the value of a MIB variable from the managed network device to which the MIB variable pertains. Spofford teaches receiving and communicating a variable of a MIB variable from a managed device to which the MIB variable pertains (col. 2, lines 1-6; figure 1). At the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to receive and communicate the value of a MIB by directly querying the managed network device in order to quickly access the MIB variable, thus enhancing the network's efficiency.

Art Unit: 2143

(Amended) Regarding claim 24, Krishnamurthy et al. teach an applet executable in a browser program and configured for obtaining a current value of a Management Information Base (MIB) variable stored in a managed network device in a network and which, when executed by the browser, causes the browser to carry out the steps of:

receiving a connection of a Web browser to the network device (column 7, lines 54-65); receiving at the network device an HTTP request message from the browser to obtain current value of the MIB variable (column 8, lines 62 – column 9, lines 1-54);

receiving the current value of the MIB variable from the MIB of the network device (column 7, lines 66-67 – column 8 lines 1-15); and

communicating the current value of the MIB variable from the network device to the browser using an HTTP reply message (column 8, lines 62 – column 9, lines 1-54; column 10, lines 48-54; figures 25-27).

However, Krishnamurthy et al. fail to explicitly teach receiving and communicating the value of a MIB variable from the managed network device to which the MIB variable pertains. Spofford teaches receiving and communicating a variable of a MIB variable from a managed device to which the MIB variable pertains (col. 2, lines 1-6; figure 1). At the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to receive and communicate the value of a MIB by directly querying the managed network device in order to quickly access the MIB variable, thus enhancing the network's efficiency.

Claims 25-27 and 28-30 have similar limitations as claims 8-10, therefore are rejected under the same rationale.

Art Unit: 2143

Claims 31-40 have similar limitations as claims 1-10, therefore are also rejected under the same rationale.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alina N Boutah whose telephone number is (703) 305-5104. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30 am-5:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A Wiley can be reached on (703) 308-5221. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 2143

Page 18

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

ANR

DAVID WILEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100